

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE/ United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,541	07/07/2003	Jeffery T. Bonk	0739D-090DVB	9101
27572 7.	590 08/25/2004		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, D	DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L	BROWN, PETER R		
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DECOM IDEA	7 111 0000		3636	
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

`		Application No.	Applicant(s)	M				
Office Action Summary		10/614,541	BONK, JEFFERY	Т.				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Peter R. Brown	3636					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>24 May 2004</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-9,11-14 and 16-19</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) 5,10,15 and 20 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-s nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO	D-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/614,541

Art Unit: 3636

Note that in updating the search, the following reference to Sargent was found that appears to read on the independent claims. A rejection has been applied below but has not been made Final.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,6,11 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sargent.

Figures 1-3 show structure as claimed, including a housing 8, a pawl 8a fixed thereto, a recliner rod 9, and a cam 10 that forces the rod into engagement with the pawl.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4,7-9,12-14, and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sargent in view of either Ferreira, Detloff et al or Rogala.

In regard to claims 2,7,12 and 17, to have utilized more than one tooth to form the pawl of Sargent, for the purpose of increasing the engagement between the

Application/Control Number: 10/614,541

Art Unit: 3636

rod and housing, would have been an obvious modification to one with ordinary skill in the art, as such is old and well known in the art as evidenced by the prior art of record.

Page 3

Regarding claims 3,4,8,9,13,14,18 and 19, to have provided the mechanism of Sargent with a biasing means, for forcing engagement between the pawl and rod, would have been well within the level of skill in the art, as such is shown to be conventional by Ferreira (fig. 2), Detloff et al (fig. 5) and Rogala (fig. 6).

Claims 5,10,15, and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter R. Brown whose telephone number is 703-308-2103. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter R. Brown Primary Examiner Art Unit 3636